

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 09/663,864 | 09/15/2000 | Arnold V. Kholodenko | 004117 USA/ETCH/ECT | 4201 |
| 32588 | 7590 11/26/2002 | | | |
| APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061 SANTA CLARA, CA 95050 | | | LEON, EDWIN A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2833 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002 · · | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/663,864 | KHOLODENKO ET AL. | | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Edwin A. León | 2833 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application and the supplication is applicated an application and the supplication is application and the supplication are supplied in the supplication and the supplied in the suppl | cation. A proper reply to a | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mate | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of t | inally rejected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | ☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | r(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo | o will be entered and an own or appended. | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22-44. | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · | | | |
| 10. Other: | , , , , _ | Modale | | | |
| | | THO D. TA | | | |
| | PR | MARY EXAMINER | | | |
| S. Datast and Tools and Office | | | | | |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations "an electrically conductive inner connector element and an electrically non-conductive outer connector element" would require further search and consideration.